# School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template 

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

| County-District-School <br> (CDS) Code | Schoolsite Council <br> (SSC) Approval Date | Local Board Approval <br> Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rhoda Maxwell <br> Elementary School | 57727100000000 | $5-17-2021$ |

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)
Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.
The School Wide Plan meets the ESSA (Every Student Succeeds Act) requirements through:

* A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school that includes information on the academic achievement of students in relationship to the challenging state academics standards, particularly the needs of those students who are failing, or are at risk of failing, to meet the changing state academic standards. Involving all stake holders (School Site Council, School Leadership, English Language Advisory Committee), we have analysis data from which we have adjusted our SPSA strategies to better serve our students.

The school wide plan was developed to support the needs of the students in the school as identified through the comprehensive needs assessments. These include:

- strategies that the school is implementing to address the school needs by providing opportunities for all students to meet the challenging state academic standards.
- the use of methods and instructional strategies that strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time, and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well rounded education, and strategies that address the needs of all students in the school, buy particularly the needs of those students at risk of not meeting the challenging academic standards.
The school wide plan addresses parent and family engagement by conducting outreach to all parents and family members, including:
- a school and family engagement policy
- a school and parent compact that addresses shared responsibility for high student academic achievement, and building capacity for involvement.


## Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

## Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Maxwell's School Site Council scheduled a total of 5 meetings this year, and reviews: the school's data, the progress made on goals within the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA), as well as participate in the needs assessment process, and develop and approve the annual School Plan. The dates include $9 / 30 / 2020,11 / 16 / 2020,1 / 25 / 2021,2 / 22 / 2021$, and $5 / 17 / 2021$.

Formal needs assessments were conducted with multiple stakeholder groups during the 2020-2021 school year including ELAC (English Learner Advisory Committee), School Site Council, and staff. Each meeting included an in-depth review of the most recent California School Dashboard data for Maxwell school students' academic performance, attendance, reclassification rate, and suspension rate. Additionally, informal needs assessments occurred on a frequent basis through conversations with administration, parents, staff, and students.

School Site Council was presented the SPSA on 9-30-20 and provided feedback. During the 1-25-21 meeting, the team monitored and review school plan implementation. On 2-22-21, the team conducted a needs assessment and was presented with data from the CA Dashboard, I-Ready, and additional school data.

ELAC scheduled a total of 6 meetings this year and reviews: the school's data, the progress made on goals within the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA), as well as participate in the needs assessment process and provided school plan recommendations to the Site Council for the 20212022 school year. During the 1-25-21 meeting, the team monitored and review school plan implementation. On 2-22-21, the team conducted a needs assessment and was presented with data from the CA Dashboard, I-Ready, and additional school data. The team met on dates included: 10-13-2020, 10-10-2020, 12-8-2020, 1-26-2021, 2-16-2021, and 5-25-2021.

Two classes participated in a process for the purpose of gathering data for the SPSA. Group 1 was a 6th-grade class that met on $3 / 23 / 2021$ and the second group was a 5 th-grade class that met on $3 / 26 / 2021$. Student input was gathered through a 13 question survey focused on English Language Arts (ELA), writing, and math with an emphasis focus on math. Twenty-three 5th-grade students answered the survey questions. The survey results were shared with the class. The students were randomly divided into three focus groups to answer the followed questions.:

1. What is easy about math?
2. What is hard about math? and
3. What could we do to make math easier?

The same procedures were followed with a class of twenty 6th-grade students. Combined, the two classes had a balanced of students in special education students, English Learner students, and General Education. The student could have multiple designations.

Two classes were given a 13 question survey. The results were shared with the class. The class was divided into three breakout rooms with an adult leading asking the students three questions. What is easy about math? What is hard about math? and What could we do to make math easier for you? The students were brought back together and their responses were shared. Feedback meets dates are scheduled next week. In developing the

As a follow-up, student focus groups met again on 4-28-21, reviewed their suggestions, and provided feedback on the strategies chosen for implementation. Students then provided an analysis of causes and collaborated to provide recommendations to improve outcomes for students.

Additional needs assessments were conducted on 2-24-2021 during a staff meeting. Performance data was shared with the teachers and identified math as an area of need. Staff participated in an indepth review of a root cause analysis in the area of math and proposed actions and strategies to support these needs. Areas of concern included the real-world hands-on activities that make math come alive for our students which would support student engagement and connection.

The process to include all stakeholders in the development of the school plan was considered with recommendations and feedback from all groups. The finalized SPSA was approved on May 1, 2021, by School Site Council.

## Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.
NA

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment <br> Enrollment By Student Group

| Student Enrollment by Subgroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Percent of Enrollment |  |  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 |
| American Indian | 0.89\% | 0.24\% | 0.48\% | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| African American | 1.33\% | 1.89\% | 1.19\% | 6 | 8 | 5 |
| Asian | 3.11\% | 3.31\% | 2.85\% | 14 | 14 | 12 |
| Filipino | 0.67\% | 0.71\% | 0.24\% | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 71.56\% | 69.74\% | 70.07\% | 322 | 295 | 295 |
| Pacific Islander | 0.22\% | 0.24\% | 0.24\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| White | 21.11\% | 21.04\% | 21.38\% | 95 | 89 | 90 |
| Multiple/No Response | 0.44\% | 1.65\% | 1.19\% | 2 | 7 | 10 |
|  | Total Enrollment |  |  | 450 | 423 | 421 |

## Student Enrollment

 Enrollment By Grade Level| Grade | Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $19-20$ |
| Kindergarten | 88 | 86 | 108 |
| Grade 1 | 64 | 61 | 50 |
| Grade 2 | 65 | 61 | 46 |
| Grade3 | 52 | 59 | 53 |
| Grade 4 | 49 | 52 | 58 |
| Grade 5 | 54 | 51 | 52 |
| Grade 6 | 78 | 53 | 54 |
| Total Enrollment | 450 | 423 | 421 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our population has declined from previous years. Our enrollment in 2019-2020 was 421 which remained stable for the last two years.
2. Our Hispanic-Latino population makes up $70.07 \%$ of our student population. Our second largest population is the White population making up $21.38 \%$ of our student body.
3. Maxwell is anticipating on our enrollment to remain the same as the 2021-2022 school year of 423 students roughly.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment <br> English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Number of Students |  |  | Percent of Students |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 0}$ |  |
| English Learners | 154 | 137 | 124 | $34.2 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ |  |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 46 | 44 | 59 | $10.2 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ |  |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) | 17 | 24 | 30 | $10.1 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ |  |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The number of English Learners students was 124 students in 2019-2020 which is $29.5 \%$ of our student body. which is a decline from the previous two years. Maxwell data appears to reflect a decline in English Learner (EL) enrollment. However, looking at enrollment data on the previous page, our campus shows a decline in enrollment of 25 students. This could also reflect the fact that our Fluent and Reclassified students percentages have gone up.
2. The number of Fluent English Proficient (FEP) students in 2019-2020 was 59 compared to 46 and 44 the previous two years. This data shows that students who were spoken to in a language other than English in the home was still exposed to the English language to be proficient enough to be considered FEP status.
3. The number of Reclassified English Proficient (RFEP) students was 30 students in 2019-2020 which is up from 24 students in the previous year. Our hard work from the teachers and our EL Project Specialist along with support from the district has created a program that reclassifies more students each year. The 30 minutes of EL (English Learner) daily instruction along with teachers providing integrated and designated instruction to students is showing an increase of reclassification. The principal and EL Specialist work closely together to ensure our EL population is receiving the instruction to support their growth with the English language and academic language. Maxwell will continue our momentum to support our EL students.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 57 | 54 | 56 | 56 | 52 | 55 | 56 | 52 | 55 | 98.2 | 96.3 | 98.2 |
| Grade 4 | 52 | 48 | 54 | 51 | 47 | 53 | 51 | 47 | 53 | 98.1 | 97.9 | 98.1 |
| Grade 5 | 81 | 54 | 55 | 80 | 54 | 54 | 80 | 54 | 54 | 98.8 | 100 | 98.2 |
| Grade 6 | 64 | 74 | 54 | 64 | 73 | 54 | 64 | 73 | 54 | 100 | 98.6 | 100 |
| All | 254 | 230 | 219 | 251 | 226 | 216 | 251 | 226 | 216 | 98.8 | 98.3 | 98.6 |

*The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 2373. | 2405. | 2385. | 10.71 | 17.31 | 10.91 | 10.71 | 13.46 | 16.36 | 19.64 | 36.54 | 29.09 | 58.93 | 32.69 | 43.64 |
| Grade 4 | 2412. | 2446. | 2456. | 11.76 | 14.89 | 22.64 | 13.73 | 25.53 | 18.87 | 21.57 | 25.53 | 26.42 | 52.94 | 34.04 | 32.08 |
| Grade 5 | 2456. | 2483. | 2486. | 7.50 | 7.41 | 16.67 | 20.00 | 29.63 | 33.33 | 26.25 | 31.48 | 20.37 | 46.25 | 31.48 | 29.63 |
| Grade 6 | 2492. | 2517. | 2514. | 3.13 | 12.33 | 9.26 | 29.69 | 31.51 | 38.89 | 32.81 | 32.88 | 29.63 | 34.38 | 23.29 | 22.22 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 7.97 | 12.83 | 14.81 | 19.12 | 25.66 | 26.85 | 25.50 | 31.86 | 26.39 | 47.41 | 29.65 | 31.94 |


| Reading <br> Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 7.14 | 19.23 | 9.09 | 35.71 | 40.38 | 47.27 | 57.14 | 40.38 | 43.64 |
| Grade 4 | 13.73 | 19.15 | 18.87 | 39.22 | 44.68 | 54.72 | 47.06 | 36.17 | 26.42 |
| Grade 5 | 15.00 | 14.81 | 18.52 | 38.75 | 61.11 | 53.70 | 46.25 | 24.07 | 27.78 |
| Grade 6 | 4.69 | 15.07 | 12.96 | 45.31 | 50.68 | 51.85 | 50.00 | 34.25 | 35.19 |
| All Grades | 10.36 | 16.81 | 14.81 | 39.84 | 49.56 | 51.85 | 49.80 | 33.63 | 33.33 |


| Producing clear and purposeful writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| Grade 3 | 14.29 | 15.38 | 9.09 | 28.57 | 34.62 | 45.45 | 57.14 | 50.00 | 45.45 |
| Grade 4 | 9.80 | 12.77 | 13.21 | 37.25 | 55.32 | 58.49 | 52.94 | 31.91 | 28.30 |
| Grade 5 | 12.50 | 14.81 | 16.67 | 41.25 | 53.70 | 53.70 | 46.25 | 31.48 | 29.63 |
| Grade 6 | 10.94 | 17.81 | 12.96 | 48.44 | 54.79 | 66.67 | 40.63 | 27.40 | 20.37 |
| All Grades | 11.95 | 15.49 | 12.96 | 39.44 | 50.00 | 56.02 | 48.61 | 34.51 | 31.02 |


| Listening <br> Demonstrating effective communication skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 8.93 | 17.31 | 9.09 | 62.50 | 59.62 | 63.64 | 28.57 | 23.08 | 27.27 |
| Grade 4 | 7.84 | 6.38 | 24.53 | 54.90 | 78.72 | 64.15 | 37.25 | 14.89 | 11.32 |
| Grade 5 | 7.50 | 9.26 | 9.26 | 66.25 | 70.37 | 57.41 | 26.25 | 20.37 | 33.33 |
| Grade 6 | 3.13 | 9.59 | 11.11 | 73.44 | 73.97 | 72.22 | 23.44 | 16.44 | 16.67 |
| All Grades | 6.77 | 10.62 | 13.43 | 64.94 | 70.80 | 64.35 | 28.29 | 18.58 | 22.22 |


| Research/Inquiry <br> Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 5.36 | 23.08 | 14.55 | 50.00 | 51.92 | 47.27 | 44.64 | 25.00 | 38.18 |
| Grade 4 | 5.88 | 8.51 | 16.98 | 49.02 | 63.83 | 54.72 | 45.10 | 27.66 | 28.30 |
| Grade 5 | 11.25 | 16.67 | 18.52 | 52.50 | 59.26 | 51.85 | 36.25 | 24.07 | 29.63 |
| Grade 6 | 14.06 | 27.40 | 7.41 | 60.94 | 57.53 | 70.37 | 25.00 | 15.07 | 22.22 |
| All Grades | 9.56 | 19.91 | 14.35 | 53.39 | 57.96 | 56.02 | 37.05 | 22.12 | 29.63 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Looking at the overall achievement in English Language Arts (ELA), $67.42 \%$ of our students exceeded, met or nearly the standard. While 31.94\% of our students did not met the standards. During the 2016-2017 year, the over all score was $52.59 \%$. In 2017-2018, the score jumped to $70.35 \%$. There was a slight decrease in 2018-2019. The data shows that our students have maintained overall
2. In 2018-2019, $41.20 \%$ of our students in third through sixth grade who exceeded or met the standards overall in English Language Arts. Comparing the two years, we have seen an increase of $2.71 \% \%$ of overall students scoring at or above grade level standards in English Language Arts(ELA). This growth can be attributed to the school's academic focus on ELA in the last few years.
3. Within the four domains of English Language Arts (Reading, Writing, Listening, and Research-Inquiry) and despite growth across all areas, our two greatest needs continue to be reading and writing. Maxwell will continue to use the district-adopted curriculum of Wonders and Benchmark and supplement where needed. We will use l-ready assessment data along with STAR Reading, unit assessments, and teacher data. Trimester academic conferences, Professional Learning Communities (PLC) time set aside for grade-level teacher collaboration.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> Mathematics (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 57 | 54 | 56 | 56 | 53 | 55 | 56 | 53 | 55 | 98.2 | 98.1 | 98.2 |
| Grade 4 | 52 | 48 | 54 | 51 | 48 | 53 | 51 | 48 | 53 | 98.1 | 100 | 98.1 |
| Grade 5 | 81 | 54 | 55 | 80 | 54 | 55 | 80 | 54 | 55 | 98.8 | 100 | 100 |
| Grade 6 | 64 | 74 | 54 | 64 | 74 | 54 | 64 | 74 | 54 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| All | 254 | 230 | 219 | 251 | 229 | 217 | 251 | 229 | 217 | 98.8 | 99.6 | 99.1 |

*The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 2398. | 2385. | 2396. | 10.71 | 3.77 | 5.45 | 19.64 | 24.53 | 20.00 | 26.79 | 28.30 | 30.91 | 42.86 | 43.40 | 43.64 |
| Grade 4 | 2410. | 2435. | 2440. | 3.92 | 4.17 | 9.43 | 7.84 | 22.92 | 15.09 | 35.29 | 37.50 | 39.62 | 52.94 | 35.42 | 35.85 |
| Grade 5 | 2442. | 2453. | 2457. | 3.75 | 5.56 | 9.09 | 8.75 | 7.41 | 16.36 | 31.25 | 33.33 | 27.27 | 56.25 | 53.70 | 47.27 |
| Grade 6 | 2475. | 2484. | 2470. | 3.13 | 5.41 | 3.70 | 12.50 | 20.27 | 11.11 | 45.31 | 33.78 | 42.59 | 39.06 | 40.54 | 42.59 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 5.18 | 4.80 | 6.91 | 11.95 | 18.78 | 15.67 | 34.66 | 33.19 | 35.02 | 48.21 | 43.23 | 42.40 |


| Concepts \& Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 19.64 | 11.32 | 12.73 | 33.93 | 32.08 | 32.73 | 46.43 | 56.60 | 54.55 |
| Grade 4 | 5.88 | 20.83 | 18.87 | 27.45 | 25.00 | 26.42 | 66.67 | 54.17 | 54.72 |
| Grade 5 | 6.25 | 5.56 | 18.18 | 26.25 | 20.37 | 27.27 | 67.50 | 74.07 | 54.55 |
| Grade 6 | 9.38 | 8.11 | 7.41 | 39.06 | 45.95 | 35.19 | 51.56 | 45.95 | 57.41 |
| All Grades | 9.96 | 10.92 | 14.29 | 31.47 | 32.31 | 30.41 | 58.57 | 56.77 | 55.30 |

Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis
Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems

| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| Grade 3 | 14.29 | 9.43 | 14.55 | 44.64 | 52.83 | 47.27 | 41.07 | 37.74 | 38.18 |
| Grade 4 | 5.88 | 10.42 | 15.09 | 33.33 | 45.83 | 35.85 | 60.78 | 43.75 | 49.06 |
| Grade 5 | 3.75 | 7.41 | 9.09 | 33.75 | 31.48 | 47.27 | 62.50 | 61.11 | 43.64 |
| Grade 6 | 4.69 | 4.05 | 1.85 | 37.50 | 47.30 | 38.89 | 57.81 | 48.65 | 59.26 |
| All Grades | 6.77 | 7.42 | 10.14 | 37.05 | 44.54 | 42.40 | 56.18 | 48.03 | 47.47 |


| Communicating Reasoning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |
| Grade 3 | 14.29 | 15.09 | 12.73 | 33.93 | 50.94 | 54.55 | 51.79 | 33.96 | 32.73 |  |
| Grade 4 | 3.92 | 10.42 | 15.09 | 27.45 | 50.00 | 43.40 | 68.63 | 39.58 | 41.51 |  |
| Grade 5 | 3.75 | 5.56 | 5.45 | 35.00 | 50.00 | 40.00 | 61.25 | 44.44 | 54.55 |  |
| Grade 6 | 4.69 | 13.51 | 5.56 | 46.88 | 36.49 | 48.15 | 48.44 | 50.00 | 46.30 |  |
| All Grades | 6.37 | 11.35 | 9.68 | 36.25 | 45.85 | 46.54 | 57.37 | 42.79 | 43.78 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Maxwell School had $99.10 \%$ of all students in third through sixth grade participate in the 2018-2019 Math Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment (SBAC). Compared to $99.60 \%$ in 2017-2018. This high percentage of students taking the assessment shows the commitment and importance placed on this assessment. The staff works hard to ensure all students are tested.
2. $57.6 \%$ of our students in third through sixth grade were at, above, or near standard overall in Math in 2018-2019, compared to $56.77 \%$ the previous year. This is an increase of $.83 \%$ from the previous year. However, students in 3rd -5 th grade who scored below standard were $42.40 \%$ overall. Despite the small gain from the 2017-2018 school year to 2018-2019, the school must work to improve student achievement. Our 3rd graders who took the assessments in the 2018-2019 school year will be our 6th-grade students next year. The 3rd-grade students overall at, above, or near standard scores were as followed:
Overall Achievement for our 3rd-grade students: at, above, or near standard scores $56.36 \%$ while the below standards scores were 43.64\%
Concepts \& Procedures, Applying mathematical concepts and procedures for our 3rd-grade students: at, above, or near standard scores $45.46 \%$ while the below standards scores were $54.56 \%$
Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis. Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real-world and mathematical problems for our 3rd-grade students: at, above, or near standard scores of $61.82 \%$ while the below standards scores was $38.18 \%$
Communicating Reasoning, Demonstrating the ability to support mathematical conclusions for our 3rd-grade students: at, above, or near standard scores of $67.28 \%$ while the below standards scores were $32.73 \%$
3. Our focus must be on all standards in math with an emphasis on Concepts \& Procedures. Our instruction needs to focus on providing clear instruction explaining the reasons why operation concepts work as they do. Students need to understand the conceptual understanding-comprehension of mathematical concepts, operations, and relations. Maxwell's staff needs to help students understand the strands of competence. Having a deep understanding requires that learners connect pieces of knowledge and that connection, in turn, is a key factor in whether they can use what they know productively in solving problems.
Maxwell will continue to use the district-adopted curriculum and supplement where needed. We will use I-ready assessment data along with STAR Math, unit assessments, and teacher data. Trimester academic conferences, Professional Learning Communities PLC (Professional Learning Community) time set aside for grade-level teacher collaboration.

## School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

| ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Overall |  | Oral Language |  | Written Language |  | Number of Students Tested |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade K | 1428.2 | 1424.7 | 1443.5 | 1440.7 | 1392.3 | 1387.2 | 31 | 26 |
| Grade 1 | 1470.6 | 1442.6 | 1478.3 | 1455.4 | 1462.5 | 1429.2 | 21 | 17 |
| Grade 2 | 1473.3 | 1497.8 | 1481.8 | 1498.7 | 1464.3 | 1496.4 | 23 | 20 |
| Grade 3 | 1487.6 | 1502.8 | 1479.2 | 1491.7 | 1495.5 | 1513.4 | 17 | 19 |
| Grade 4 | 1505.9 | 1531.7 | 1511.3 | 1530.8 | 1500.2 | 1531.7 | 21 | 13 |
| Grade 5 | 1543.0 | 1514.2 | 1545.9 | 1501.3 | 1539.6 | 1526.5 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade 6 | 1520.0 | * | 1506.8 | * | 1532.6 | * | 11 | 7 |
| All Grades |  |  |  |  |  |  | 136 | 114 |

## Overall Language

Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students

| Grade Level | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| K | 38.71 | 7.69 | * | 42.31 | * | 38.46 | * | 11.54 | 31 | 26 |
| 1 | 66.67 | 0.00 | * | 64.71 | * | 17.65 | * | 17.65 | 21 | 17 |
| 2 | * | 30.00 | * | 50.00 | * | 15.00 | * | 5.00 | 23 | 20 |
| 3 | * | 21.05 | * | 42.11 | * | 26.32 | * | 10.53 | 17 | 19 |
| 4 | * | 53.85 | * | 15.38 | * | 23.08 | * | 7.69 | 21 | 13 |
| 5 | * | 25.00 | * | 25.00 | * | 41.67 |  | 8.33 | 12 | 12 |
| 6 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | 11 | * |
| All Grades | 40.44 | 21.05 | 34.56 | 42.11 | 16.18 | 26.32 | 8.82 | 10.53 | 136 | 114 |


| Oral Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| K | 48.39 | 7.69 | 38.71 | 53.85 | * | 26.92 | * | 11.54 | 31 | 26 |
| 1 | 76.19 | 17.65 | * | 52.94 |  | 23.53 | * | 5.88 | 21 | 17 |
| 2 | 65.22 | 45.00 | * | 45.00 | * | 5.00 | * | 5.00 | 23 | 20 |
| 3 | * | 26.32 | * | 47.37 | * | 21.05 | * | 5.26 | 17 | 19 |
| 4 | 61.90 | 69.23 | * | 7.69 | * | 7.69 | * | 15.38 | 21 | 13 |
| 5 | * | 25.00 | * | 58.33 | * | 8.33 |  | 8.33 | 12 | 12 |
| 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11 | * |
| All Grades | 56.62 | 30.70 | 28.68 | 44.74 | 8.82 | 15.79 | * | 8.77 | 136 | 114 |


| Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number <br> of Students |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |
| K | 70.97 | 30.77 | $*$ | 65.38 | $*$ | 3.85 | 31 | 26 |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 76.19 | 52.94 | $*$ | 41.18 | $*$ | 5.88 | 21 | 17 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 65.22 | 45.00 | $*$ | 45.00 | $*$ | 10.00 | 23 | 20 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $*$ | 15.79 | 76.47 | 68.42 | $*$ | 15.79 | 17 | 19 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 52.38 | 53.85 | $*$ | 38.46 | $*$ | 7.69 | 21 | 13 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $*$ | 0.00 | $*$ | 91.67 | $*$ | 8.33 | 12 | 12 |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 11 | $*$ |  |
| All Grades | 55.15 | 32.46 | 36.03 | 57.89 | 8.82 | 9.65 | 136 | 114 |  |

Speaking Domain
Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students

| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number <br> of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{K}$ | 45.16 | 15.38 | 45.16 | 65.38 | $*$ | 19.23 | 31 | 26 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 80.95 | 17.65 | $*$ | 76.47 | $*$ | 5.88 | 21 | 17 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 65.22 | 45.00 | $*$ | 50.00 | $*$ | 5.00 | 23 | 20 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 70.59 | 63.16 | $*$ | 31.58 | $*$ | 5.26 | 17 | 19 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 85.71 | 69.23 | $*$ | 15.38 | $*$ | 15.38 | 21 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 91.67 | 50.00 | $*$ | 41.67 |  | 8.33 | 12 | 12 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 11 | $*$ |
| All Grades | 69.12 | 42.11 | 22.79 | 48.25 | 8.09 | 9.65 | 136 | 114 |


| Reading Domain <br> Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| K | * | 0.00 | 64.52 | 84.62 | * | 15.38 | 31 | 26 |
| 1 | * | 23.53 | * | 35.29 | * | 41.18 | 21 | 17 |
| 2 | * | 20.00 | 52.17 | 65.00 | * | 15.00 | 23 | 20 |
| 3 | * | 5.26 | 64.71 | 78.95 | * | 15.79 | 17 | 19 |
| 4 | * | 7.69 | 57.14 | 69.23 | * | 23.08 | 21 | 13 |
| 5 | * | 16.67 | * | 50.00 | * | 33.33 | 12 | 12 |
| 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11 | * |
| All Grades | 19.12 | 11.40 | 55.15 | 64.04 | 25.74 | 24.56 | 136 | 114 |


| Wercentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number <br> of Students |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |
| K | 35.48 | 42.31 | $*$ | 42.31 | $*$ | 15.38 | 31 | 26 |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $*$ | 0.00 | 57.14 | 82.35 | $*$ | 17.65 | 21 | 17 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $*$ | 15.00 | 69.57 | 70.00 | $*$ | 15.00 | 23 | 20 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $*$ | 26.32 | 64.71 | 73.68 | $*$ | 0.00 | 17 | 19 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $*$ | 23.08 | 52.38 | 61.54 | $*$ | 15.38 | 21 | 13 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $*$ | 16.67 | $*$ | 66.67 |  | 16.67 | 12 | 12 |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 11 | $*$ |  |
| All Grades | 28.68 | 22.81 | 53.68 | 64.91 | 17.65 | 12.28 | 136 | 114 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. For our ELPAC (English Learner Proficiency Assessment for California) Summative Assessment Data, the number of students and mean scale scores for all students in K-5th grade remained the same with a $.05 \%$ difference from 2017-2018 to 2018-2019.
2. There were significantly more students scoring at Level 3 overall than compared to Levels 1,2 , and 3 . (Level 4 21.05, Level 3-42.11, Level 2-26.32, Level 1-10.53)
3. As a school, Maxwell students remained the same within $1 \%$ in Listening, Speaking and Reading. In writing, students increased from 2017-2018 to 2018-2019 school year by $5.36 \%$. Maxwell's goal is to assess students at the beginning of the 2021-2022 school year, review multiple data sources and create trimester goals to support student learning.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

This section provides information about the school's student population.

| 2018-19 Student Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> Enrollment | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | English <br> Learners | Foster <br> Youth |
| 423 | 74.2 | 32.4 | 1.2 |

This is the total number of students enrolled.

This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.

This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.

This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court.

| 2018-19 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| English Learners | 137 | 32.4 |
| Foster Youth | 5 | 1.2 |
| Homeless | 6 | 1.4 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 314 | 74.2 |
| Students with Disabilities | 85 | 20.1 |


| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| African American | 8 | 1.9 |
| American Indian | 1 | 0.2 |
| Asian | 14 | 3.3 |
| Filipino | 3 | 0.7 |
| Hispanic | 295 | 69.7 |
| Two or More Races | 5 | 1.2 |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | 0.2 |
| White | 89 | 21.0 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Maxwell School has 137 students that are considered English Learners school-wide, this is $32.4 \%$ of our student population.
2. Maxwell School has a significant percentage of students that are identified as socio-economically disadvantaged. $74.2 \%$ or 314 out of our 423 students fall within this category.
3. Maxwell School exceeds the typical average for students with disabilities with $20.1 \%$ or 85 out of our 423 students falling within the category. Maxwell should remain stable with enrollment around 420 students and maintain our staffing ratios. $69.7 \%$ of our students fall in the Hispanic student group. The school will continue to send out information our community in English and Spanish.

## School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

2019 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

| Academic Performance |
| :---: |
| English Language Arts |
| Orange |
| Mathematics |
| Yellow |


| Academic Engagement |
| :---: |
| Chronic Absenteeism |
| Red |


| Conditions \& Climate |
| :---: |
| Suspension Rate |
| Green |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Mathematics is falling within the yellow zone of the California Dashboard. The previous year, 2019, the school was yellow in both ELA (English Language Arts) and Math.
2. Chronic absences are identified and fall within the red area of the Dashboard for the 2019 school year. Chronic absences in 2019 impacted $11.4 \%$ of our student body, There was an increase of $5.60 \%$ in our chronic absences compared to the 2019 school year. As of the 2019 school year, $17.00 \%$ of our student body being considered chronically absent. This equates to 72 students.
3. Suspensions are in the Green on the Dashboard for the 2018-2019 school year. This is an improvement from the Orange level in 2017-2018 school year. In 2018-2019 there were a total of 8 suspensions- 5 students Hispanic or Latino at a $1.0 \%$ rate, and 3 White students at a $2.2 \%$ rate. In 2017-2018 there were 35 suspensions- 30 students who were Hispanic or Latino at a $4.0 \%$ rate and 4 White students at a $3.9 \%$ rate. Our goals is to provide clear expectations through the PBIS (Positive Behavior Intervention and Support) model and lower our suspension rate and move into the blue zone.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Language Arts

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue
Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group

| All Students |
| :---: |
| Orange |
| 22.1 points below standard |
| Maintained -2 points |
| 207 |


| English Learners |
| :---: |
| Orange |
| 40.7 points below standard |
| Declined -5.1 points |
| 99 |



| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| Red |
| 100.8 points below standard |
| Maintained ++2 points |
| 43 |



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92.1 points below standard | 2.7 points below standard | 9.6 points below standard |
| Declined -8.8 points | Declined Significantly -17.6 points | Maintained ++0.1 points |
| 42 | 57 | 104 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. All subgroups as a whole declined in their English Language Arts score. All students together showed a 23.3 point decrease from the standard but remained in the yellow performance level.
2. Two sub populations that maintained in their performance level are Students with Disabilities, which is in the red and is -102.8 points below the standard, and White, which is in the Yellow color and is -1.5 points below the standard.
3. Three subpopulations that declined in their performance level are English Learners, which are in the orange color and are -41.6 points from the standard, Hispanic which is in the orange and is -29.7 points below the standard, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged which is in the Orange and is 41.6 points below the standard. Without knowing the impact of the pandemic and teaching virtually for a year, our focus/goal in 2021-2022 we maintain -2 points and continue that momentum and raise the 22.1 points below standard to 20 points below standard.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance

Mathematics
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

$\underset{\text { Yellow }}{\text { T }}$

Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98.7 points below standard | 47 points below standard | 49.3 points below standard |
| Maintained ++2.7 points | Declined Significantly -15.2 points | Increased ++7.1 points |
| 42 | 57 | 104 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The majority of the various subgroups performance by race/ethnicity achieved a small amount of growth in the area of mathematics. School-wide, students increased by +3.8 points on the state assessment in mathematics and fall in the yellow on the California Dashboard. The only exception was our White students who declined as a group by -6.9 points below standard and fell in the Yellow color band.
2. Two sub populations showed an increase in math performance. Hispanic students increased their performance by +6.9 points, and fall in the yellow band. These students are -59.2 points below standard. Students with Disabilities increased by +22.3 points, fall in the Orange band and are -117.3 points below the standard.
3. Two sub populations that maintained in their performance level are English Learners, which fell in the orange color and are -69 points from the standard, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged which is in the Orange and is 69 points below the standard. Without knowing the impact of the pandemic and teaching virtually for a year, our focus in the 2021-2022 showing an increase of all student of ++3.8 point and continue that momentum from 56.4 points below standard to 50 points below standard which will increase our reclassification rate.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance English Learner Progress

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

| English Learner Progress |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 51.1 making progress towards English |
| language proficiency |
| Number of EL Students: 88 |
| Performance Level: Medium |

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

| Decreased <br> One ELPI Level | Maintained ELPI Level 1, <br> 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H | Maintained <br> ELPI Level 4 | Progressed At Least <br> One ELPI Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20.4 | 28.4 | 4.5 | 46.5 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. $51.1 \%$ of our English Learner students are making progress towards English language proficiency which put the school in the medium performance level category.
2. 18 students decreased one ELPI (English Learner Performance Index) level.
3. 28 students maintained their level, while 4 students maintained in the ELPI level 4. 46 students progressed at least one ELPI level. While we are making progress and focusing on our EL student data during Academic Conferences, we need to focus on academic progress throughout the year and continue to address student needs.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance

College/Career
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Performance | Red |  | Gellow | Highest <br> Perfformance |

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report

Red
Orange
Yellow
Green
Blue

This section provides information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career for All Students/Student Group


This section provides a view of the percent of students per year that qualify as Not Prepared, Approaching Prepared, and Prepared.

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career 3-Year Performance

| Class of 2017 | Class of 2018 | Class of 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepared | Prepared | Prepared |
| Approaching Prepared | Approaching Prepared | Approaching Prepared |
| Not Prepared | Not Prepared | Not Prepared |

Conclusions based on this data:
1.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement

Chronic Absenteeism
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

$\underset{\text { Yellow }}{\text { T }}$

Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group



## Students with Disabilities



Orange
19.5

Increased +4.7

87

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy | No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy | No Performance Color <br> 0 <br> Declined -7.1 <br> 14 | No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
| $\begin{gathered} K_{\text {Red }} \\ 16.2 \end{gathered}$ | No Performance Color <br> 18.2 | No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data | Red 21.3 |
| Increased Significantly +5.6 $297$ | 11 | $1$ | Increased +7.5 <br> 89 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. As a whole, Maxwell had a significant increase in our Chronic Absenteeism with a +5.6 point from the standard and 17\% chronically absent.
2. Our sub-populations with significant increases were the Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, with a significant increase of $7 \%$ and in the Red band with 18.9 chronically absent, and Hispanic, with a significant increase of $5.6 \%$ in the Red band with $16.2 \%$ chronically absent. Our subpopulations with increases were our English Learners with an increase of $8.5 \%$, in the Orange band with $12.9 \%$ chronically absent, White with an increase of $7.5 \%$ in the Red band with $21.3 \%$ chronically absent, and Students with Disabilities with an increase of $4.7 \%$ in the Orange band with $19.5 \%$ chronically absent.
3. Our Attendance Liaison was a tremendous support to Maxwell. She supported the school by provided attendance data each month, communicated to families, home visits, organized parent meetings, created monthly perfect attendance certificates with students' names printed on them, and displayed perfect attendance students' names in the office. She was part of our team and as new ideas came up, she provided support. She interacted with our PBIS team monthly looking at-risk students along with supporting the school with truancy letters and scheduling SARB (School Attendance Review Board) meetings. Maxwell's goal is to continue receiving support from our Attendance Liaison during the 2021-2022 school year and increase support from our CAFE (Community and Family Engagement) Specialist to support families and students.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Performance | Red |  | Gellow | Highest <br> Perfformance |

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

| 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow |  |  |  |  |  |  |

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners |  | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  | Students with Disabilities |
| 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who received a high school diploma within four years of entering ninth grade or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Year

## 2018

Conclusions based on this data:
1.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Conditions \& Climate Suspension Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

|  | 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group


Declined Significantly -2.8
434


Foster Youth

No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data not displayed for privacy

Students with Disabilities


Green
2.2

Declined -3.1
89

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Color Less than 11 Students- Data not displayed for privacy | No Performance Color <br> Less than 11 Students Data not displayed for privacy | No Performance Color <br> 0 <br> Maintained 0 14 | No Performance Color <br> Less than 11 Students Data not displayed for privacy |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
|  | No Performance Color | No Performance Color |  |
| 1 | 0 | Less than 11 Students- Data not displayed for privacy | 2.2 |
| Declined Significantly -3.1 306 | 11 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Declined }-1.7 \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ |

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 3.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The overall suspension rate for the Fall 2019 school year declined significantly by $2.8 \%$ with only $1.2 \%$ students suspended. Compared to the previous year in 2017-2018, our suspension rate was $1.8 \%$.
2. Our sub populations with declines in suspensions were English Learners, with a decline of $3.6 \%$ and in the Blue, Students with Disabilities with a decline of $2.2 \%$ and in the Green and our White, with a decline of $1.7 \%$ and in the Green.
3. Our sub populations with a significant decline in suspensions were our Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, with a significant decline of $3.6 \%$, in the Orange band and Hispanic with a significant decline of $3.1 \%$ and in the Blue. The goal is to continue to provided support academically, socially and emotionally to continue the decline of suspensions.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Each student will meet the skills and competencies of the graduate profile in order to be college and career ready through a rigorous, intellectually rich, and culturally relevant environment.

## Goal 1

Each student will meet the skills and competencies of the graduate profile in order to be college and career-ready through a rigorous, intellectually rich, and culturally relevant environment.

## Identified Need

Educators recognize the power of the arts to change young lives. They know that students' sustained engagement with enriching, high-quality experiences in the arts promotes essential skills and perspectives-like the capacity to solve problems, express ideas, harness and hone creativity, and persevere toward a job well done. There is a universal need to expose our students to multiple concept and and ideas for our students future of obtaining higher education or career along with assuming ownership of one's learning.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes



## Expected Outcome

During the 2021-2022 school year, our goal is to bring the participation rate in the music program back to back to $95-$ 100 students. Provide Visual and Performing Arts to all students through a variety of means of assemblies, performances, and classroom activities.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All student groups with an emphasis on Students with Disabilities and English Learners.
Strategy/Activity
With a school-wide focus using goal setting and monitoring progress in reading and math, students will begin to take ownership of their learning. Students will understand what their expectations and growth monitoring should be as well as how they are progressing toward it. At the same time, we will offer multiple opportunities for enrichment-type activities for all students to discover their interests and strengths which could include opportunities for students to join a club, provide classroom activities during the day, participate in the music program, and assemblies.
*Providing multiple enrichment activities for students to include but not limited to Cross Country, Choir, Music (Band), Folklorico, Art, Dance, and more.
*Monthly Fun Fridays.
*Provide materials and supplies to support visual and performing arts.
*Provide enrichment assemblies to support students' interests and strengths.
*Assembly: Author, SEL (Social Emotional Learning), Motivation, Academic, other
*Funding for staff to include but not limited to prep time, presentations, and materials.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Amount(s)

2800

5200

## Source(s)

Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Supplemental/Concentration

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Our 5th and 6th-grade students were encouraged to participate in a music program and only a handful of students participated during the pandemic. The music teacher provided 15 minutes of
instruction to the primary grades a few times a month. Students were encouraged to participate in the district-developed Choice Boards which offered arts, music, PE (Physical Education), STEAM (Science, Technology, Art, Math, Technology), reading, Spanish and Social-Emotional Learning. Teachers throughout the week had students singing, dancing, and moving while learning math, English Language Arts (ELA), and Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) activities.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
Due to the pandemic and having to teach virtually for the majority of the year, our intended focus was altered to accommodate students virtually. Our intended goals were to offer after-school clubs, assemblies, and monthly fun Fridays to sustain engagement with enriching, high-quality experiences in the arts was not possible. However, student engagement was supported through art supplies, and activities were sent home in curbside packets for each grade level every 4-6 weeks through Phase $1 \& 2$. When students returned to campus during Phase 3, the entire student body participated in a Square 1 Art activity.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
A bulk of our activities to encourage student engagement in the last two years were occurring during the spring months before the pandemic closed schools. Our goals for the 2021-2022 school year is to spread out the activities in the fall and spring months. To promote engagement and leadership opportunities for our students, a student leadership team will be involved in organizing certain activities. These activities can be found in Goal \#4.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Each student's individual social-emotional and academic needs will be met through quality first instruction, enrichment, and intervention, in a safe and supportive environment.

## Goal 2

Each student's individual social-emotional and academic needs will be met through quality first instruction, enrichment, and intervention, in a safe and supportive environment.

## Identified Need

Despite Maxwell's overall growth school-wide and within the majority of our sub populations, there is a need for continuous growth in Math and English Language Arts (ELA). Our students with disabilities are 100 points below standards in ELA and math despite the fact that they maintained overall in ELA and made significant increase in math with +22.3 points. Overall our greatest need in math falls within the domain of Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions and our overall our greatest need in ELA remains reading and writing.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| Performance level on ELA and |
| Math Academic Indicator |
| Percentage of students who |
| reach growth targets on iReady |
| in reading and Math. | | Parent/family satisfaction on |
| :--- |
| the Healthy Kids Survey (HKS), |
| on key indicators. |

Parent/family satisfaction on the Healthy Kids Survey (HKS), on key indicators.

Baseline/Actual Outcome
Maxwell is Orange on the California School Dashboard for ELA and Yellow for Math

In the 19-20, School Plan goal was to increase 10\% on IReady ELA and math. Winter last year to winter this year.

```
I-ready ELA 2018 I-ready ELA 2019
```

$37 \%$ typical growth $20 \%$ typical growth

I-ready Math 2018 I-readyMath 2019
$20 \%$ typical growth $21 \%$ typical growth
>> In 2018-2019, parents attended a regularly scheduled parent/teacher conference 93\% of the time and only attended a

## Expected Outcome

Maxwell will increase performance on the California School Dashboard to Green for Math and Yellow for ELA

We will see an increase in the percentage of our students reaching growth target on i Ready in Reading and Math by 10\%.

[^0]| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | parent/teacher organization meeting $55 \%$ of the time. <br> >>Parents indicated that 61\% felt that harassment and bullying was not a problem or a small problem while $28 \%$ say somewhat a problem to a large problem. | bullying was not a problem by 5\%. |
| Performance level on English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI) | 51.1\% of EL students are making progress towards English proficiency | Raise the number of EL students will make progress towards proficiency to $55 \%$. |
| Number of students who are chronically absent | As a whole, Maxwell had a significant increase in our Chronic Absenteeism with a +5.6 point from the standard and $17 \%$ chronically absent. | To lower our chronically absent students from $17 \%$ to $12 \%$, a decrease of $5 \%$. |
| Student sense of safety and school connectedness | Based on the Healthy Kids survey, $63 \%$ of 5 th-grade students indicated they felt safe and connected to the school was most and all the time they feel safe while $37 \%$ felt safe some of the time or not at all. | To raise the percentage of students that say they most of the time and all of the time feel safe and connected to the school from 63\% to $70 \%$. |
| Suspension Rate | The overall suspension rate for the Fall 2019 school year declined significantly by $2.8 \%$ with only $1.2 \%$ of students suspended. Compared to the previous year in 2017-2018, our suspension rate was $1.8 \%$. | Our goals is to continue to reduce suspensions to $1.0 \%$ from 1.2\%. |
| Percentage of students in both the Meets and Exceeds <br> Standards level on SBAC <br> (Smarter Balanced <br> Assessment Consortium) <br> English Language Arts. | Looking at the overall achievement in English Language Arts, 68.05\% of our students exceeded, met, or nearly the standard. While 31.94\% of our students did not meet the standards. Within the four domains of English Language Arts (Reading, Writing, Listening, and Research-Inquiry) and despite growth across all areas, our two greatest needs continue to be reading and writing. | To raise the percentage of students that exceeded, met or nearly met the standard to 72\%. |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All student groups with an emphasis on Students with Disabilities and English Learners.
Strategy/Activity
Provide quality first instruction for all students in the areas of Math and ELA to reach growth targets in Math and ELA.

* Goal setting and self-monitoring of academic progress: i-Ready, Accelerated Reading, Attendance
*Staff Development / Professional Development / Trainers: Professional Learning Communities (PLC), Mathematical Practices, ELA: reading and writing, Social-Emotional Learning, online conferences
*Common Agreements for Academics (Quality First Instruction, i-Ready, Pacing Guide, Adopted Curriculum)
*Staff Meetings (Focus on Math and ELA strategies)
*set time aside for grade levels to have PLC (Professional Learning Community) planning time.
*School-wide Common Agreements (i-Ready, school-wide approach to word problems, the use of manipulatives, conferences with students and goal setting, data monitoring, and more).
> School-wide Observations and sub release time for academic planning
> Material and supplies to support professional staff development PD (professional development)
to include but not limited to: manipulatives, books, release time, subs, trainers, conferences, and more.
> Common Planning Time
> Academic Conferences, Sub/Release Time, Observations, Student Goal Planning, and Student Monitoring and Planning
> Possibly Para and VSA Intervention support
> Office supplies and equipment to support academic growth and parent involvement
$>$ Educational Software to support student academic growth.
> After school Intervention Fall and Spring
> support parent advisory groups with translation, daycare, and light snacks
> Support parent's connections to access technology through materials, training, and support.
Power Hour to support intervention support for students


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
69,720

21,909

Source(s)
Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Supplemental/Concentration

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All student groups with an emphasis on Students with Disabilities and English Learners.
Strategy/Activity
Through a variety of mediums, we will increase our communication, involvement, and engagement of parents in our various committees and school-wide events.

* All calls through Parent Square, done in multiple languages as a clear and consistent way to communicate.
* Newsletter and flyers in multiple languages
* Website information and calendar provided in multiple languages.
* La Posada
* Annual Carnival
* Trunk or Treat
* Back To School Night
* PTA (Parent Teacher Association)
* School Site Council
* ELAC - English Learner Advisory Committee
* Parent Volunteers
* Administer Parent Survey, HKS (Healthy Kids Survey)
* Open House
> Materials, supplies, and equipment to support communication with parents to include by not limited to speakers, stands, lights, and more.
> Materials and supplies to support any Family Night Activities(Game Night, Science Night, Movie Night, ELAC, SSC, Parent Teacher Association, and more)
> Parent Liaison support
> Material, supplies, light snacks, and childcare for any parent activities and other supplies as needed for the success of the activity.


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

## 916

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All student groups with an emphasis on Students with Disabilities and English Learners.
Strategy/Activity
Plan to reduce chronic absenteeism. Plan to include monthly incentives to include but not limited to: Students received monthly perfect attendance certificates, monthly perfect attendance tickets for an end of the year raffle, monthly popcorn party for highest classroom attendance, March Attendance Madness, Communication to include but not limited to: Monday Morning Announcements, emails and announcements to encourage student attendance and staff encouragement in each classroom.
> Monthly student incentives to include but not limited to: pencils, stickers, rewards for Dragon
Bucks, RTI (Response to Intervention), pizza/popcorn snack parties, and more
> Monthly recognition certificates.
> Student Safety and communication supplies, materials, and equipment.
> PBIS (Positive Behavior Intervention and Support) supplies, staff extra duty, subs, and more to support student attendance

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
6900

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration

## Strategy/Activity 4

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All student groups with an emphasis on Students with Disabilities and English Learners.
Strategy/Activity
All students will benefit from our work to refine all Tier II supports (PBIS) addressing behavior, attendance, and academic needs. Through a strong support system, we will show a decrease in behavior and chronic absences and increase student safety and school connectedness. Academic support will increase our numbers of students achieving grade level and above in ELA and Mathematics.
*Common Agreements for Academics, RTI (Response to Intervention), Target Instruction, i-Ready *RTI - Math / ELA (English Language Arts) Rtl model for instruction
*Response to Intervention Support
*Scheduling for Tier II Intervention Support
*PBIS (positive Behavior Intervention and Support) School-wide Commitment and Practices to include but not limited to subs, stipends, and release time (Monthly Character Traits, Ohana
Circles, Dragon Way, Restorative Justice, Conflict Managers)
*Monthly PBIS Team Meetings (Identifying Patterns and Areas of Need)
*Monthly Attendance Support Team Meetings (Incentives, System of Support)
*Monthly Tier II Support Team Meetings (Identifying and Providing Additional Support)
*Incentive Programs
*Academic Conferences /Assessment Data
*SST' s (Student Study Teams), 504's

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Goal \#2 has eight measurable outcomes with four strategies and activities. To the best of the staff's ability during the pandemic, students were provided quality first instruction. Teachers were in constant training, planning, learning new ways to present lessons and new ways for students to share what they have learned in ELA and Math. Teachers participated in two Cycles of Continuous Improvement with a debrief meeting held at the end of the year in June. Each cycle included:

1. Academic Conferences focused on student data, create academic grade-level goals in ELA and math, and self-monitoring of academic progress through i-Ready, Accelerated Reading, STAR Reading and Math, Attendance, and teacher data.
2. Teachers shared these goals with their students and had students create their own academic goal for the trimester
3. Progress Notices were sent home halfway through the trimester
4. Student goals were reviewed
5. The completion of the report cards and data results were shared with parents at parent conferences completed the cycle.

Communication to our stakeholders occurred through a variety of mediums: Parent Square with texts, phone messages, and emails done in multiple languages along with monthly newsletters, flyers, curbside pick-up, and teacher communication. Our stakeholder groups continued to meet throughout the year with School Site Council, ELAC, and PTA. Each grade level teacher participated in Back to School Night and in place of Open House, provided an information night to parents to inform them of Phase 3 Reopening expectations and guidelines.

Attendance continued to be a major focus throughout the year. At the beginning of the school year, it took five to six weeks before we had all students situated with working Chromebooks, Hotspots (internet access), and learning how to log into class. Once all students were logging in, we had several students each week that could not log in, did not have internet access, or Chromebook issues. The teachers and the office staff continue to support all students. The school continues to recognize students for perfect attendance, March madness, recognized the class with the highest attendance monthly and individual students received monthly certificates in the mail. Our Attendance Liaison continued to monitor absences, did home visits, made referrals, monitored attendance data, completed truancy notices, and supported us with monthly perfect attendance certificates.

Maxwell was invited to attend a Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports (PBIS) Tier I training through Yolo County this year. A team of five staff members participated: three teachers, a counselor, and the principal. The team reviewed what PBIS strategies were already in place and
discussed any modifications to the plan. Our Tier I school-wide system is in place which focuses on creating a positive school-wide social culture with ongoing monitoring, evaluations, and training.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
Due to the COVID19 pandemic, Maxwell's staff had high intentions to implement the goals, strategies, and activities. Fall and spring afterschool tutoring to support student achievement did not happen. The school was anticipating that Phase 2 and Phase 3 would occur much sooner and they did not. The funds were spent on planning and supplemental academic supplies that could be used in school or at home with students this school year. All-Day IEP (Individual Education Plan)/SST (Student Study Team) /504 meetings were also budgeted with subs to support our team meetings. Those meetings were all held after the virtual learning day. SST referrals were considerably lower than the previous year due to virtual learning. The team is looking at ways to support students this year with the funds. The long hour's teacher spent preparing their virtual lessons each evening caused putting the Professional Development Bookclub on hold. Our family events of the La Posada, Annual Carnival, Open House, Trunk or Treat, and crosscountry were canceled. Maxwell is enthusiastic about starting the 2021-2022 school year where everyone is back at school and we can resume traditional education where students attend classes in person and on campus.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
Maxwell's goal is to continue to focus on ELA and Math to increase the percentage of our students reaching growth targets and performances. We will continue Cycles of Continuous Improvement each trimester and involve all stakeholders. To promote a positive culture, the PBIS team along with the principal will develop a yearly calendar of events that addresses student, teacher, and parent needs to support all students which should reduce our suspension rates and raise attendance percentages. We will be adding a Communication Outreach Liaison person to support our families through a VSA (Variable Service Agreement). The VSA will serve as a liaison between teachers, parents, students, support staff, and the community regarding educational programs, services, and various student issues; translation communications serve as an interpreter for conferences on a limited/emergency basis, telephone calls, assemblies, and meetings as needed; translate written correspondence as necessary.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Accelerate the academic achievement and English proficiency of each English Learner through an assets oriented approach, and standards based instruction.

## Goal 3

Accelerate the academic achievement and English proficiency of each English Learner through an assets oriented approach, and standards based instruction.

## Identified Need

Maxwell's enrollment in 2019-2020 was 423 students with the largest population being our HispanicLatino making up $70.07 \%$ of our student population and $29.5 \%$ or 88 students were considered English Learners. 28.4\% of students maintained their level, while $4.5 \%$ of students maintained in the ELPI level 4 and 46.5\% progressed at least one ELPI level. Our current English Learner student body with 2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts data shows the students are 92.1 points below standard with a decline of -8.8 points and our Reclassified English Learners data shows the students are 2.7 points below standard with a Declined Significant -17.6 points.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator
Increase the reclassification
rate for English Learners.
Show growth on the
English Learner Progress
Indicator (ELPI).
Improve the school's rating of
the English Learner Roadmap
Principle 1 on the self
assessment.

Baseline/Actual Outcome
21.9\%of English learners were reclassified in 2019-2020
51.1\% of our English Learner (EL) students are making progress towards English language proficiency which puts the school in the medium performance level

These rating scores were obtained when a team from Maxwell attended a needs assessment meeting. The team was introduced to the California English Learner Road-map, Principal 1:
Assets-Oriented and Needs Responsive Schools and ranked each of the following areas from 0-4.
2.5 - Language and cultures are assets

## Expected Outcome

Increase our reclassification rate by $3 \%$ to $25 \%$ overall.
To continue to support our EL students and maintain our 51.1\% students making progress and increase that percentage to $55 \%$.

To raise the rank in each area to a 3 .

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1 <br> Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity <br> (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups) <br> English Learners (EL)

Strategy/Activity
Maxwell's staff worked diligently to support our EL population. Instructional strategies were used to increase the opportunities for quality and structure student collaboration (listening and speaking). Additional opportunities for Tier II support in English Language Arts: reading, writing, speaking, and listening were also provided. Below are the strategies used to support our students
*Goal Setting and Data Chats
*Strong Understanding of Reclassification Benchmarks by All Stakeholders (student, teacher, parent)
*Emphasizing Academic Language During Integrated and Designated English Language Development (ELD)
*Using Listening and Speaking Skills Before Writing and Reading
*Focus on reading and writing school-wide for our EL
*Providing Tier II Intervention and Reteaching; by identifying students by language proficiency level and EL profile, staff will work with EL specialists to plan instruction focused on intervention and differentiation to meet students' needs by proficiency level during content instruction.
*Newcomer Group
*Response to Intervention Tier II Support
*Have a power hour to support all students in ELD/ALD (Academic Language Development)
*Provide Staff Development and collaboration time to analyze data and determine strategies for increased student achievement with a focus on meeting the needs of our EL populations. EL (English Learner Specialist) to model lessons and collaborate with staff in planning, to implement research based instructional strategies for integrated ELD (English Language Development) in content areas, and provide professional development.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Amount(s)

500

## Source(s)

## Supplemental/Concentration

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Students participated in goal-setting during trimester 2 and trimester 3 with a focus on ELA and Math. Our EL students discussed and reviewed their present levels and developed goals. All stakeholders have a strong understanding of reclassification. Teachers met twice to review student data once during trimester 2 and the second time in trimester 3. Parents learned about reclassification at our ELAC meeting. Our teachers continued to teach English Learner Development (ELD) daily for 30 minutes throughout the pandemic while using integrated and designated English Language Development. ELPAC (English Learner Proficiency Assessment for California) state assessment for all EL students did occur in the spring.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
Our response of intervention (RTI) practices continued throughout the pandemic and into Phase 3 of our reopening. Due to the pandemic and virtual learning, the remote format did increase difficulty of implementation.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
Maxwell's goal is to continue the process of identification, supporting student academic needs based on their ELD levels, provided focused instruction and reclassification. The staff continues to provide academic support where needed.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Provide meaningful engagement and leadership opportunities for youth to directly and significantly shape each student's education and school community

## Goal 4

Provide meaningful engagement and leadership opportunities for youth to directly and significantly shape each student's education and school community

## Identified Need

Based on the Healthy Kids survey in 2019, 63\% of 5th-grade students indicated they felt safe and connected to the school was most and all the time they feel safe while $37 \%$ felt safe some of the time or not at all. Based on a survey taken in March 2021 with (43) 5th and 6th graders, $48 \%$ of students said they "like school" always and often and $66 \%$ of students said they "feel they are an important part of school" always and often.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| Number of partnerships with <br> the community and other <br> programs that provide students <br> with opportunities to get <br> engaged |
| Number of extracurricular <br> programs offered |
| Number and percent of |
| students providing input to the |
| SPSA (School Plan for Student |
| Achievement) through surveys |
| Number and percent of <br> students by representative <br> demographic providing input to <br> the SPSA through focus <br> groups |

## Baseline/Actual Outcome <br> Maxwell partnered with the Farm to Fork organization, United Way, Yolo County Library, Woodland Police Department and various other groups. <br> Due to the COVID pandemic, our extracurricular programs were limited this year. During the 2019-2020 school year, there were four programs offered. <br> A 5th and 6th grade class participated in a survey providing input to the SPSA. 43 students responded

During the 2020-2021, 43 students or $10 \%$ of students provided input into the development of the SPSA through class and small group discussions. Student demographics: 23 female students, 20 male students. 30 Latinx students, 10 English

## Expected Outcome

Maxwell will continue our partnership with Farm to Fork organization, United Way, Woodland Police Department and increase our partnership community outreach.

Due to budget decreases, Maxwell's goal is to offer at least 4 extracurricular programs for the 2021-2022 school year.

Increase the survey results to all 3rd - 6th grade students in the 2021-2022 school year. which would represent more than $50 \%$ of students.

Increase the number of students that provide input into the development of the SPSA to include students from 4th 6th grade. Increase the percentage participating in focus groups to $20 \%$.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All student groups with an emphasis on Students with Disabilities and English Learners.

Strategy/Activity
Build into the yearly calendar opportunities for students to participate in meaningful engagement and leadership through the following activities that may include:
Student Council
Trimester Goal Setting for students
Conflict/Peer Managers
Mentors / Role Models in primary classrooms - Ambassadors
Reading Buddies - all grade levels - quarterly
Student Action Team
Student of the Week

Funds will be used for salary, planning, student activities, materials, assemblies, equipment, and other needed items to support the success of this goal.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
N/A - This is the first year of implementing the goal.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
N/A - This is the first year of implementing the goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

N/A - This is the first year of implementing the goal.

## Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

## Budget Summary

## Description

Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA

## Amount

## \$75,496

\$
\$123,304.00

## Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

## Federal Programs

Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Title I Part A: Parent Involvement

## Allocation (\$)

\$74,580.00
$\$ 916.00$

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$75,496.00
List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

## State or Local Programs

Supplemental/Concentration

## Allocation (\$)

\$47,808.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$47,808.00
Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$123,304.00

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

## 1 School Principal

3 Classroom Teachers
1 Other School Staff
5 Parent or Community Members

| Name of Members | Role |
| :--- | :--- |
| Debra Hetrick | Principal |
| Mari Sanchez | Classroom Teacher |
| Lesley Estrella | Other School Staff |
| Jackie Taylor | Classroom Teacher |
| David Zenjadas | Classroom Teacher |
| Maria Yanet Sanchez Ascencio | Parent or Community Member |
| Crystal Real | Parent or Community Member |
| Steve Flores | Parent or Community Member |
| Robert Hurt | Parent or Community Member Community Member |
| Casie Real Coleman |  |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

## Save Data View Current Document [iew Section

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:
The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:


The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on


Principal, Debra Hetrick
on $5 / 19 / 2021$


[^0]:    >>Increase parent participation at a parent/teacher organization meeting by $5 \%$. >>Increase parent satisfaction that felt harassment and

